arXiv:1611.05265v1 [math.CV] 16 Nov 2016

# SUPERPOSITION OPERATORS, HARDY SPACES, AND DIRICHLET TYPE SPACES

PETROS GALANOPOULOS, DANIEL GIRELA, AND MARÍA AUXILIADORA MÁRQUEZ

Abstract. For $0 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha > -1$ the space of Dirichlet type $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ consists of those functions $f$ which are analytic in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfy $\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)^\alpha |f'(z)|^p\, dA(z) < \infty$. The space $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ is the closest one to the Hardy space $H^p$ among all the $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$. Our main object in this paper is studying similarities and differences between the spaces $H^p$ and $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ $(0 < p < \infty)$ regarding superposition operators. Namely, for $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < \infty$, we characterize the entire functions $\varphi$ such that the superposition operator $S_\varphi$ with symbol $\varphi$ maps the conformally invariant space $Q^s$ into the space $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$, and, also, those which apply $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ into $Q^s$ and we compare these results with the corresponding ones with $H^p$ in the place of $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$.

We also study the more general question of characterizing the superposition operators mapping $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ into $Q^s$ and $Q^s$ into $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$, for any admissible triplet of numbers $(p, \alpha, s)$.

## 1. Introduction and main results

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ denote the open unit disc and the unit circle in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, and let $\mathcal{H}ol(\mathbb{D})$ be the space of all analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence in compact subsets.

If $0 \le r < 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}ol(\mathbb{D})$, we set

$$M_p(r, f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{it})|^p\, dt\right)^{1/p}, \text{ if } 0 < p < \infty, \text{ and } M_\infty(r, f) = \sup_{|z|=r} |f(z)|.$$

For $0 < p \le \infty$, the Hardy space $H^p$ consists of those $f \in \mathcal{H}ol(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H^p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{0\le r<1} M_p(r, f) < \infty$$

(see [16] for the theory of $H^p$-spaces). If $0 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha > -1$, the weighted Bergman space $A^p_\alpha$ consists of those $f \in \mathcal{H}ol(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{A^p_\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left((\alpha+1)\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^\alpha |f(z)|^p\, dA(z)\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

The unweighted Bergman space $A^p_0$ is simply denoted by $A^p$. Here, $dA(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} dx\, dy$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue area measure in $\mathbb{D}$. We refer to [17], [28] and [39] for the theory of these spaces.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B35; Secondary 30H10.

*Key words and phrases.* Superposition operator, Hardy spaces, Dirichlet-type spaces, $BMOA$, the Bloch space, $Q^p$-spaces, zero set.

This research is supported by a grant from "El Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad", Spain (MTM2014-52865-P) and by a grant from la Junta de Andalucía FQM-210.

The space of Dirichlet type $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ ($0 < p < \infty$, $\alpha > -1$) consists of those $f \in \mathcal{H}ol(\mathbb{D})$ such that $f' \in A^p_\alpha$. Hence, if $f$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, then $f \in \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ if and only if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |f(0)| + \|f'\|_{A^p_\alpha} < \infty.$$

If $\alpha > p-1$ then it is well known that $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha = A^p_{\alpha-p}$ [20, Theorem 6]. On the other hand, if $\alpha < p-2$ then $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset H^\infty$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ becomes a "proper Dirichlet type space" when $p-2 \leq \alpha \leq p-1$. For $p > 1$, the space $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-2}$ is the Besov space $B^p$. The space $\mathcal{D}^2_0$ is the classical Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$ of those analytic functions $f$ in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 \, dA(z) < \infty$. For these spaces, we have the following inclusions:

$$\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}^q_\alpha, \quad \text{if } 0 < q < p < \infty, \, \alpha > -1. \tag{1.1}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}^p_\beta, \quad \text{if } 0 < p < \infty, \, -1 < \alpha < \beta. \tag{1.2}$$

The spaces $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ are the closest ones to Hardy spaces among all the spaces $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$. It is well known that $\mathcal{D}^2_1 = H^2$. We have also [29]

$$H^p \subsetneq \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}, \quad \text{for } 2 < p < \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

and [20, 34]

$$\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1} \subsetneq H^p, \quad \text{for } 0 < p < 2. \tag{1.4}$$

Let us recall some similarities and differences between the spaces $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ and Hardy spaces. Let us start with some similarities.

- For $0 < p \leq 2$, the Carleson measures for $H^p$ and those for $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ are the same [34, 35].
- For $0 < p < \infty$, the univalent functions in $H^p$ and those in $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ are the same [8].
- A number of operators are bounded on $H^p$ if and only if they are bounded on $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$, and, in some cases, it is useful to go through $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ when one wants to study the action of an operator on $H^p$ (see e. g. [21]).

Regarding differences, we may mention the following ones.

- $H^\infty \not\subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$, if $0 < p < 2$. More precisely, there are Blaschke products not belonging to any of the $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$-spaces, $0 < p < 2$ [34].
- For $p > 2$, there are functions in $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ without finite radial limit almost everywhere [27].
- There is no inclusion relation between $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ and $\mathcal{D}^q_{q-1}$, $p \neq q$.
- The zero sequence of a $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$-function, $p > 2$, may not satisfy the Blaschke condition [27].
- Even though it was conjectured in [35] that the Carleson measures for $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ ($p > 2$) were the same, this is not true [26].

We refer to the recent paper [33] for more results of this kind.

Our first aim in this paper is studying similarities and differences between Hardy spaces and $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$-spaces regarding superposition operators.

Given an entire function $\varphi$, the superposition operator

$$S_\varphi : \mathcal{H}ol(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}ol(\mathbb{D})$$

is defined by $S_\varphi(f) = \varphi \circ f$. We consider the following question: If $X$ and $Y$ are two subspaces of $\mathcal{H}ol(\mathbb{D})$, for which entire functions $\varphi$ does the operator $S_\varphi$ map $X$ into $Y$?

If $Y$ contains the constant functions, then it is clear that, for constant functions $\varphi$, the operator $S_\varphi$ maps $X$ into $Y$. It is also clear that for the function $\varphi(z) = z$, we have that $S_\varphi(f) = f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}ol(\mathbb{D})$ and, hence, $S_\varphi(X) \subset Y$ if and only if $X \subset Y$.

If $X \subset Y$, then the answer to this question tells us "how small" is the space $X$ inside the space $Y$. Thus, if there are a lot of $\varphi$'s for which $S_\varphi(X) \subset Y$ then we can say that $Y$ is "big" compared with $X$.

The characterization of the entire functions $\varphi$ for which the superposition operator maps $X$ into $Y$ has been studied for distinct pairs $(X, Y)$ of spaces of analytic functions. For example,

- Cámera: Hardy spaces $H^p$ and $H^q$ ([14]).
- Buckley, Fernández and Vukotić: Besov spaces $B^p$ and $B^q$ ([11]).
- Bonet and Vukotić: $H^\infty_{v_1}$ and $H^\infty_{v_2}$ for general classes of weight functions $v_1, v_2$ ([10]).
- Buckley and Vukotić: the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$ and the Hardy space $H^p$ ([13]).
- Álvarez, Márquez and Vukotić: the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ and the Bergman space $A^p$ ([1]).

In [24] the second and third authors of this paper characterized the superposition operators between the space $BMOA$ and the Hardy spaces $H^p$ and those between the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ and $H^p$. Now we study the same questions considering the spaces $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ in the place of $H^p$, and we compare the corresponding results.

The space $BMOA$ consists of those functions $f \in H^1$ whose boundary values function has bounded mean oscillation, that is, lies in $BMO(\mathbb{T})$. The Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ is the space of all functions $f \in \mathcal{H}ol(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| < \infty.$$

We have the inclusions

$$BMOA \subset \mathcal{B}, \qquad H^\infty \subset BMOA \subset \bigcap_{0<p<\infty} H^p.$$

See [23] for the results about the space $BMOA$ and [2] for the Bloch space.

We proved the following (see [24], where we actually considered not only the spaces $BMOA$ and $\mathcal{B}$ but also the more general spaces $Q_s$).

**Theorem A.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function and $0 < p < \infty$. Then*

(a) *$S_\varphi(BMOA) \subset H^p$ if and only if $\varphi$ is of order less than one, or of order one and type zero.*
(b) *$S_\varphi(\mathcal{B}) \subset H^p$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*
(c) *$S_\varphi(H^p) \subset BMOA$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*
(d) *$S_\varphi(H^p) \subset \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*

Let us recall that the order of a non-constant entire function $\varphi$ is

$$\rho = \limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log\log M(r,\varphi)}{\log r},$$

where $M(r, \varphi) = \max_{|z|=r} |\varphi(z)|$. If $0 < \rho < \infty$, the type of the entire function $\varphi$ of order $\rho$ is

$$\tau = \limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log M(r,\varphi)}{r^\rho}.$$

See [9] for results about entire functions.

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function and $0 < p < \infty$. Then*

(a) *If $p \geq 2$, then $S_\varphi(BMOA) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ if and only if $\varphi$ is of order less than one, or of order one and type zero. If $p < 2$, then $S_\varphi(BMOA) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*
(b) *$S_\varphi(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*
(c) *$S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}) \subset BMOA$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*
(d) *$S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}) \subset \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*

Let us remark that, in the case $p < 2$, the result we obtain here for the superposition operator from $BMOA$ to $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ do not coincide with the result we had for the Hardy spaces.

As mentioned before, in [24] we considered $Q_s$ spaces. These include the space $BMOA$ (for $s = 1$) and the Bloch space (for $s > 1$). In the present work we also consider superposition operators between $Q_s$ spaces and $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ spaces for all the cases ($0 \leq s < \infty$, $0 < p < \infty$).

The next natural question we study is what happens if we take the spaces $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ for other values of $p$ and $\alpha$, not only $\alpha = p - 1$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

The result given in (a) of Theorem A is also true for the Bergman spaces $A^p$ (see [1]). Now, let $\varphi$ be an entire function and suppose that $2 \leq p < \infty$. Then we have that $H^p \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ (recall that $H^2 = \mathcal{D}^2_1$ and see (1.3)). It is also true that $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1} \subset A^{2p}$.

Using these two results about superposition operators and the fact that $H^p \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1} \subset A^{2p}$, it follows easily that $S_\varphi(BMOA) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ if and only if $\varphi$ is of order less than one, or of order one and type zero.

For the second part of (a), suppose that $0 < p < 2$, $\varphi$ is a non-constant entire function, and $S_\varphi(BMOA) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ to get a contradiction.

Take a function $f \in H^\infty$ such that

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{p-1} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^p \, dr = \infty, \quad \text{for almost every } \theta. \tag{2.1}$$

The existence of this function is proved by Girela in [22].

Now, it is clear that $\varphi' \circ f \in H^\infty$. We have also that $\varphi' \circ f \not\equiv 0$. Indeed, if $\varphi' \circ f \equiv 0$ then we have that $\varphi' \equiv 0$ in $f(\mathbb{D})$, which is an open set in $\mathbb{C}$ (observe that $f$ is non-constant). This implies that $\varphi' \equiv 0$, but we are assuming that $\varphi$ is non-constant. Then, as $\varphi' \circ f \in H^\infty$ and $\varphi' \circ f \not\equiv 0$, it follows that $\varphi' \circ f$ has a non-zero radial limit almost everywhwere.

Using the fact that $H^\infty \subset BMOA$, we have that $f \in BMOA$ and, hence, $S_\varphi(f) \in \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^{p-1} |(\varphi \circ f)'(z)|^p \, dA(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^{p-1} |f'(z)|^p \, |\varphi'(f(z)|^p \, dA(z) < \infty.$$

Equivalently,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 (1-r)^{p-1} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^p \, |\varphi'(f(re^{i\theta}))|^p \, dr \, d\theta < \infty.$$

This implies that

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{p-1} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^p \, |\varphi'(f(re^{i\theta}))|^p \, dr < \infty, \quad \text{for almost every } \theta.$$

But, since $\varphi' \circ f$ has a non-zero radial limit almost everywhwere, we deduce that

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{p-1} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^p \, dr < \infty, \quad \text{for almost every } \theta,$$

which contradicts (2.1). Then, we have proved (a).

For the proof of (b), let $\varphi$ be an entire function and $0 < p < \infty$. Recall that $S_\varphi(\mathcal{B}) \subset H^p$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant (Theorem A, (b)). Now, if $p \leq 2$ we have (1.4) that $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1} \subset H^p$. This implies that $S_\varphi(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.

Now suppose $p > 2$. In the proof of (b) in Theorem A we used the fact that the zero sequence of a function in $H^p$ satisfies the Blaschke condition. This is not true for all the functions in $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ in this case, so we need more precise results about the zero sequences of Bloch functions and $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$-functions.

Let us assume that $S_\varphi(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$. Take a function $f \in \mathcal{B}$ with $f(0) \neq 0$ such that, if $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is the sequence of zeros of $f$, repeated according to multiplicity, and ordered so that $|a_1| \leq |a_2| \leq \ldots$, then

$$\prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|a_n|} \neq \mathrm{o}\left((\log N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

M. Nowak [31, Theorem 1] proved the existence of this function, a fact which shows the sharpness of Theorem 2 (ii) of [25]. Let us consider the entire function $\varphi_1 = \varphi - \varphi(0)$ and the function $g = \varphi_1 \circ f$, analytic in the disc. We have two possibilities:

If $g \equiv 0$, then $\varphi_1(f(z)) = 0$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Now, $f(\mathbb{D})$ is an open set, as $f$ is analytic and non-constant in $\mathbb{D}$. Then, the entire function $\varphi_1$ is zero in an open set, which implies that $\varphi_1$ is identically zero. Then $\varphi$ is constant.

If $g \not\equiv 0$, using that $S_\varphi f = \varphi \circ f \in \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$, we see that the function $g$, given by

$$g(z) = \varphi_1(f(z)) = \varphi(f(z)) - \varphi(0), \qquad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

also belongs to $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$, and, by a result proved in [27], its sequence of ordered non-null zeros $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ satisfies that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|z_k|} = \mathrm{O}\left((\log N)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}\right), \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty. \tag{2.3}$$

Now observe that for all $n$ we have $g(a_n) = \varphi(f(a_n)) - \varphi(0) = \varphi(0) - \varphi(0) = 0$, that is, all the zeros $a_n$ of $f$ are also zeros of $g$. Besides, it is easy to see that, for all $n$, the multiplicity of $a_n$ as zero of $g$ is greater than or equal to its multiplicity as zero of $f$. Then it is clear that $|a_n| \geq |z_n|$ for all $n$, and

$$\prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|a_n|} \leq \prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|z_n|}, \qquad \text{for all } N.$$

Now, by (2.3), there exist $C > 0$ and $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|z_k|} \leq C(\log N)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}, \qquad \text{for all } N > N_0.$$

Then, for all $N > N_0$ we have

$$\frac{\prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|a_n|}}{(\log N)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{\prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|z_n|}}{(\log N)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{C(\log N)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}}{(\log N)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{C}{(\log N)^{\frac{1}{p}}},$$

which tends to zero, as $N$ tends to infinity. This implies that

$$\prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|a_n|} = \mathrm{o}\left((\log N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty,$$

contradiction with (2.2). Then, this possibility ($p > 2$) is not possible. We deduce that $\varphi$ must be constant, and the proof of (b) is finished.

Using the fact that $BMOA \subset \mathcal{B}$, we see that (d) implies (c), so it remains to prove (d). Let us remark that, if $0 < p < \infty$, $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta > 0$, then the analytic function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^\beta}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

belongs to the Bergman space $A^p_\alpha$ if and only if $\beta < \frac{2+\alpha}{p}$.

Suppose that $\varphi$ is an entire function, $0 < p < \infty$ and $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}) \subset \mathcal{B}$. Take $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{p})$ and let

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^\alpha}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Using the previous condition for the function $f'$ (taking $\alpha = p-1$, $\beta = \alpha + 1$), we can see that $f \in \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$. It is also easy to check that $f \notin \mathcal{B}$.

The idea of the proof is that functions in the Bloch space have logarithmic growth, but $f$ does not have this growth and neither does the function $S_\varphi(f) = \varphi \circ f$, unless $\varphi$ is constant. Then $S_\varphi(f) \notin \mathcal{B}$, that leds to contradiction.

For a detailed proof, we can use this function $f$ and follow the steps of the proof of Proposition 1 in [1], where the authors proved this result for the Bergman space $A^p$ in the place of the space $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 3. Superposition between $Q_s$ and $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ spaces

If $0 \leq s < \infty$, we say that $f \in Q_s$ if $f$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 g(z,a)^s \, dA(z) < \infty,$$

where $g(z,a)$ is the Green's function in $\mathbb{D}$, given by $g(z,a) = \log \left| \frac{1-\overline{a}z}{z-a} \right|$.

These spaces arose in [4] in connection with Bloch and normal functions and have been studied by several authors (see e.g. [3, 4, 5, 6, 18, 19, 30, 32, 36]. Aulaskari and Lappan [4] proved that, for $s > 1$, $Q_s$ is the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$. Using one of the many characterizations of the space $BMOA$ (see, e.g., Theorem 5 of [7]) we can see that $Q_1 = BMOA$. If $s = 0$, just by the definitions, $Q_s$ is the classical Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$.

It is well known that $\mathcal{D} \subset BMOA$ and $BMOA \subset \mathcal{B}$. R. Aulaskari, J. Xiao and R. Zhao proved in [6] that

$$\mathcal{D} \subset Q_{s_1} \subset Q_{s_2} \subset BMOA, \qquad 0 < s_1 < s_2 < 1.$$

The books [37] and [38] are general references for the theory of $Q_s$-spaces.

We have considered superposition operators between $Q_s$ spaces and spaces of Dirichlet type $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ in the particular cases $s = 1$, $s > 1$, and now we consider the remaining cases. If $0 < s < 1$ we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function, $0 < s < 1$ and $0 < p < \infty$. Then*

(a) *$S_\varphi(Q_s) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ if and only if $\varphi$ is of order less than one, or of order one and type zero.*
(b) *$S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}) \subset Q_s$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*

*Proof.* Let us mention that the authors proved (a) for the Hardy spaces $H^p$ in place of $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ [24, Theorem 1]. On the other hand, we have that $S_\varphi(\mathcal{B}) \subset A^p$ if and only if $\varphi$ is of order less than one, or of order one and type zero [1, Theorem 3]. We note that the Bloch function

used there is univalent, then it also belongs to the space $Q_s$, $0 < s < 1$. So we can adapt that proof to see that (a) is also true for the Bergman spaces $A^p$.

Now the proof of (a) is clear if $p \geq 2$, having in mind that $H^p \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1} \subset A^{2p}$. It remains to prove (a) for $p < 2$.

Then, let us assume that $\varphi$ is an entire function, $0 < s < 1$ and $0 < p < 2$. The first part is easily deduced. Indeed, if $S_\varphi(Q_s) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ then $S_\varphi(Q_s) \subset H^p$ (see (1.4)). Applying that (a) is true for $H^p$ as mentioned above, we deduce that $\varphi$ is of order less than one, or of order one and type zero. For the second part, suppose that $\varphi$ is of this order and type, and take $f \in Q_s$. We have to prove that $S_\varphi(f) \in \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$, that is, the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^{p-1} \big|\big(S_\varphi(f)\big)'(z)\big|^p \, dA(z)$$

is finite. Applying Hölder's inequallity for $\frac{2}{p} > 1$ and $\frac{2}{2-p}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^{p-1} \big|\big(S_\varphi(f)\big)'(z)\big|^p \, dA(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^{p-1} |f'(z)|^p |\varphi'(f(z))|^p \, dA(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( (1-|z|^2)^{\frac{sp}{2}} |f'(z)|^p \right) \left( (1-|z|^2)^{\frac{p(2-s)-2}{2}} |\varphi'(f(z))|^p \right) dA(z) \\
&\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^s |f'(z)|^2 \, dA(z) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^{\frac{p(2-s)-2}{2-p}} |\varphi'(f(z))|^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \, dA(z) \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}. \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since $f \in Q_s$ we have that the measure $\mu$ defined by $d\mu(z) = (1-|z|)^s |f'(z)|^2 \, dA(z)$ is finite (in fact, $\mu$ is a $s$-Carleson measure [5, Theorem 1.1]). So, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|)^s |f'(z)|^2 \, dA(z) < \infty. \qquad (3.2)$$

Then, the first integral of (3.1) is finite, and now we consider the second integral. Let $\alpha = \frac{p(2-s)-2}{2-p}$, the exponent of $(1-|z|^2)$. Observe that $\alpha > -1$, and

$$(1-|z|^2)^\alpha = (1+|z|)^\alpha (1-|z|)^\alpha \leq c_\alpha (1-|z|)^\alpha, \qquad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}, \qquad (3.3)$$

for a positive constant $c_\alpha$ which only depends on $\alpha$. Using that $Q_s \subset \mathcal{B}$, we know that $f \in \mathcal{B}$, and we have

$$|f(z)| \leq \left( \log \frac{1}{1-|z|} + 1 \right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \qquad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}. \qquad (3.4)$$

Let $c = \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} + 1$ and take a positive number $\varepsilon$ such that $\varepsilon < \frac{(1+\alpha)(2-p)}{2pc}$. Recalling that the derivative $\varphi'$ of $\varphi$ has the same order and type of $\varphi$, we see that $\varphi'$ is of order less than one, or of order one and type zero. Then, there exists $A > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi'(z)| \leq A \, e^{\varepsilon |z|}, \qquad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}. \qquad (3.5)$$

Using (3.3), (3.5) and (3.4), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^\alpha|\varphi'(f(z))|^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}\,dA(z) &\le c_\alpha\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)^\alpha\left(A\,e^{\varepsilon|f(z)|}\right)^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}dA(z)\\ &\le c_\alpha\,A^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)^\alpha\left(e^{\varepsilon c\left(\log\frac{1}{1-|z|}+1\right)}\right)^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}dA(z)\\ &\le c_\alpha\,A^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)^\alpha\left(\frac{e}{1-|z|}\right)^{\frac{2p}{2-p}\varepsilon c}dA(z)\\ &\le c_\alpha\,(A\,e^{\varepsilon c})^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)^{\alpha-\frac{2p}{2-p}\varepsilon c}\,dA(z).\end{aligned}$$

The last integral is finite if $\alpha-\frac{2p}{2-p}\varepsilon c>-1$, which is true by our choice of $\varepsilon$. Then, the second integral of (3.1) is also finite, and we have that $S_\varphi(f)\in\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$, that finishes the proof of (a).

We deduce (b) from Theorem 1, (d) and the inclusion $Q_s\subset\mathcal{B}$. □

For $s=0$, the result about superposition operators between $Q_s$ and $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ is actually easily deduced from previous theorems.

**Theorem 3.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function and $0<p<\infty$. Then*

(a) *$S_\varphi(\mathcal{D})\subset\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ if and only if $\varphi$ is of order less than 2, or of order 2 and finite type.*
(b) *$S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1})\subset\mathcal{D}$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*

*Proof.* First, let us notice that (a) was proved for Bergman spaces $A^p$ and Hardy spaces $H^p$ (see Theorem 1 for $p=2$ and Remark 8 of [13]). Then, if $p\ge 2$, using that $H^p\subset\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}\subset A^{2p}$, we see that this result is also true for $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$. On the other hand, if $p<2$, (a) is proved in Theorem 24 of [11] ($p=2$, $\beta=q-1$). Now, if $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1})\subset\mathcal{D}$, using the inclusion $\mathcal{D}\subset\mathcal{B}$, we can apply (d) of Theorem 1 to prove (b). □

## 4. Superposition between $Q_s$ and $B^p$ spaces

We have characterized superposition operators between $Q_s$ and $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ spaces in the particular case $\alpha=p-1$. Our next purpose is considering other values of $p$ and $\alpha$. Let us begin studing what happens if $\alpha=p-2$. As we have mentioned before, for $p>1$, the space $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-2}$ is the Besov space $B^p$, that is, the space of those functions $f$, analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, for which

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^{p-2}|f'(z)|^p\,dA(z)<\infty.$$

For $p=2$, we have $B^2=\mathcal{D}^2_0=\mathcal{D}$. The space $B^1$ is separately defined as the space of those analytic functions $f$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f''(z)|\,dA(z)<\infty.$$

The following inclusions hold:

$$\begin{aligned}&B^p\subset B^q, && \text{if } 1<p<q<\infty,\\ &B^1\subset B^p\subset BMOA, && \text{if } 1<p<\infty,\\ &B^1\subset H^\infty.\end{aligned}$$

S.M. Buckley, J.L. Fernández and D. Vukotić proved in [11] the following results:

**Theorem B.** *For any entire function $\varphi$, we have $S_\varphi(B^1) \subset B^1$.*

**Theorem C.** *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If $\varphi$ is an entire function, then $S_\varphi(B^p) \subset \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $\varphi$ is a linear function, $\varphi(z) = az + b$ $(a, b \in \mathbb{C})$.*

**Corollary D.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function.*

(a) *If $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, then $S_\varphi(B^p) \subset B^q$ if and only if $\varphi$ is linear.*
(b) *If $1 \leq q < p < \infty$, then $S_\varphi(B^p) \subset B^q$ if and only $\varphi$ is constant.*

Observe that $\mathcal{B} = Q_s$ for $s > 1$ and $B^2 = \mathcal{D} = Q_0$. Then, some particular cases of our problem are already solved in the previous results. Indeed, Theorem C characterize the superposition operators from $B^p$ into $Q_s$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 < s < \infty$, and taking $p = 2$ or $q = 2$, Corollary D characterize the superposition operators from $Q_0$ into $B^p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and from $B^p$ into $Q_0$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

We have the following result.

**Theorem 4.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function. Then*

(a) *For $0 \leq s < \infty$, $S_\varphi(B^1) \subset Q_s$.*
(b) *For $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s \leq 1$, $S_\varphi(B^p) \subset Q_s$ if and only if*
   - *$\varphi$ is constant, if $\frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1-s}{2}$,*
   - *$\varphi$ is a linear function, if $\frac{1}{p} > \frac{1-s}{2}$.*
(c) *For $0 < s < \infty$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, $S_\varphi(Q_s) \subset B^p$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*

Let us remark that Theorem 4, together with Theorem C and Corollary D, give a complete characterization of the superposition operators between $Q_s$ spaces and $B^p$ spaces for all the cases $(0 \leq s < \infty, 1 \leq p < \infty)$.

We shall use the following result in the proof of Theorem 4.

**Proposition 1.** *If $0 < s \leq 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$, then*

$$B^p \subset Q_s \iff \tfrac{1}{p} > \tfrac{1-s}{2}.$$

*Proof.* As mentioned before, $B^p \subset BMOA$ if $1 < p < \infty$. Then, the result is true for $s = 1$, and we may assume that $s < 1$.

First, suppose that $0 < s < 1$ and $1 < p \leq 2$. Then $\frac{1}{p} \geq \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1-s}{2}$, and

$$B^p \subset B^2 = \mathcal{D} = Q_0 \subset Q_s.$$

Secondly, assume that $0 < s < 1$, $2 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} > \frac{1-s}{2}$.

It is not difficult to check that, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Besov space $B^p$ is contained in the Lipschitz space $\Lambda^p_{1/p}$, that is:

$$f \in B^p \implies M_p(r, f') = \mathrm{O}\left(\tfrac{1}{(1-r)^{1-1/p}}\right), \qquad \text{as } r \to 1. \tag{4.1}$$

On the other hand, for $p, s$ verifying the previous conditions, it is known that $\Lambda^p_{1/p} \subset Q_s$ (see [5]). Then $B^p \subset \Lambda^p_{1/p} \subset Q_s$.

Finally, suppose that $0 < s < 1$, $2 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1-s}{2}$. In order to prove that $B^p \not\subset Q_s$, let us take the function

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}\, 2^{\frac{k}{p}}}\, z^{2^k}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}\, 2^{\frac{k}{p}}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\frac{k}{p}}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{-\frac{1}{p}}\right)^k < \infty.$$

Then, we see that $f$ is an analytic function in the disc given by a power series with Hadamard gaps.

Recall that a power s of the form $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{n_k}$, where $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $k$, and $\{n_k\}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers, such that

$$\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k} \geq \lambda, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

for some $\lambda > 1$, is called a lacunary series or a power series with Hadamard gaps.

Using the characterization of the lacunary series in Besov spaces, given in Theorem D of [15],

$$f \in B^p \iff \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k |a_k|^p < \infty, \tag{4.2}$$

we see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k |a_k|^p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^k \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}\, 2^{\frac{k}{p}}}\right)^p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)^p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{p}{2}}} < \infty,$$

since $p > 2$. Then $f \in B^p$. Now, we use the characterization of the lacunary series in $Q_s$ spaces for $0 < s < 1$ (see Theorem 6 of [6]),

$$f \in Q_s \iff \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(1-s)} \sum_{j:\, n_j \in I_k} |a_j|^2 < \infty, \tag{4.3}$$

where $I_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : 2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}\}$ for all $k$. We have that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(1-s)} \sum_{j: n_j \in I_k} |a_j|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(1-s)} |a_k|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(1-s)} \frac{1}{k\, 2^{\frac{2k}{p}}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(1-s-\frac{2}{p})} \frac{1}{k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} = \infty.$$

Here, we used the inequality $\frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1-s}{2}$ to see that $2^{k(1-s-\frac{2}{p})} \geq 1$ for all $k$. By (4.3), we have that $f \notin Q_s$. We have finished the proof, since the function $f$ belongs to $B^p \setminus Q_s$. □

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Part (a) follows trivially from Theorem B. Indeed, if $0 \leq s < \infty$, we have

$$S_\varphi(B^1) \subset B^1 \subset B^2 = \mathcal{D} = Q_0 \subset Q_s.$$

In order to prove part (b), suppose that $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s \leq 1$. If $S_\varphi(B^p) \subset Q_s$, then $S_\varphi(B^p) \subset \mathcal{B}$, and it follows from Theorem C that $\varphi$ is linear, that is, $\varphi(z) = az + b$, for two constants $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$.

If in addition, $\frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1-s}{2}$, then, by Proposition 1, $B^p \not\subset Q_s$, so there exists a function $f \in B^p \setminus Q_s$. As we have assumed that $S_\varphi(B^p) \subset Q_s$, we have that $S_\varphi f = af + b \in Q_s$. Now, $a \neq 0$ implies that $f \in Q_s$, which is a contradiction. It follows that $a = 0$ and $\varphi$ is constant.

For the second implication, it is enough to observe that in the case $\frac{1}{p} > \frac{1-s}{2}$ we have the inclusion $B^p \subset Q_s$ given in Proposition 1, so it is clear that $S_\varphi(B^p) \subset Q_s$ if $\varphi$ is linear. In this way we see that (b) is proved.

Now, assume that $0 < s < \infty$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $S_\varphi(Q_s) \subset B^p$ then $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}) \subset B^p$, because $\mathcal{D} \subset Q_s$. Then $\varphi$ is linear, by Corollary D in the case $p = 2$. Now, it is known (see Theorem 4.2.1 of [37]) that there exists a function

$$f \in \bigcap_{0<s<\infty} Q_s \setminus \bigcup_{1\leq p<\infty} \Lambda^p_{1/p}.$$

Using again (4.1), that is, $B^p \subset \Lambda^p_{1/p}$, we see that $f \in Q_s \setminus B^p$, so we deduce that $\varphi$ is constant, using the same argument that in part (b). □

## 5. Superposition between $Q_s$ and $A^p_\alpha$ spaces

Our next aim is characterizing superposition operators between $Q_s$ and $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ spaces, when $\alpha > p - 1$. Let us recall that $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha = A^p_{\alpha-p}$, if $p > 0$ and $\alpha > p - 1$. Then we consider superposition between $Q_s$ spaces ($0 \leq s < \infty$) and weighted Bergman spaces $A^p_\alpha$ ($p > 0$, $\alpha > -1$).

We have the following result.

**Theorem 5.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function. Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha > -1$. Then*

(a) *$S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}) \subset A^p_\alpha$ if and only if $\varphi$ is of order less than 2, or of order 2 and finite type.*
(b) *For $0 < s < \infty$, $S_\varphi(Q_s) \subset A^p_\alpha$ if and only if $\varphi$ is of order less than one, or of order one and type zero.*
(c) *For $0 \leq s < \infty$, $S_\varphi(A^p_\alpha) \subset Q_s$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*

*Proof.* The first implication of part (a) can be obtained adapting the proof of the following result (see Theorem 1 of [13]).

**Theorem E.** *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q < \infty$. If $\varphi$ is an entire function, then $S_\varphi(B^p) \subset A^q$ if and only if $\varphi$ is of order less than $\frac{p}{p-1}$, or of order $\frac{p}{p-1}$ and finite type.*

For the second implication, let us assume that $\varphi$ is an entire function of order less than 2, or of order 2 and finite type, let $0 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha > -1$. Let's take a function $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and we shall prove that $S_\varphi(f) \in A^p_\alpha$. We know, because of the order and type of $\varphi$, that there exist $C, A > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi(w)| \leq C\, e^{A|w|^2}, \qquad \text{for all } w \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha |S_\varphi(f)(z)|^p \, dA(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha |\varphi(f(z))|^p \, dA(z)$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha \left(C\, e^{A|f(z)|^2}\right)^p dA(z) = C^p \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha e^{Ap|f(z)|^2} dA(z). \tag{5.1}$$

Now, $f$ belongs to the Dirichlet space, so it satisfies

$$|f(z)| = \mathrm{o}\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)^{1/2}\right), \qquad \text{as } |z| \to 1.$$

Then, if we take a constant $\varepsilon$ satisfying

$$0 < \varepsilon < \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{Ap}\right)^{1/2},$$

there exists $R_0 \in (0,1)$ such that

$$|f(z)| \leq \varepsilon \left(\log \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{if } R_0 \leq |z| < 1.$$

It follows that, if $R_0 \leq |z| < 1$, we have

$$|f(z)|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 \log \frac{1}{1-|z|}, \quad e^{Ap|f(z)|^2} \leq e^{Ap\varepsilon^2 \log \frac{1}{1-|z|}} = \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{Ap\varepsilon^2}}.$$

In order to prove that $S_\varphi(f) \in A^p_\alpha$, it is enough to see that the second integral in (5.1) is finite, which is equivalent to

$$\int_{\{z\in\mathbb{D}:R_0\leq|z|<1\}} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha e^{Ap|f(z)|^2}\, dA(z) < \infty. \tag{5.2}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\{z\in\mathbb{D}:R_0\leq|z|<1\}} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha\, e^{Ap|f(z)|^2}\, dA(z) &\leq \int_{\{z\in\mathbb{D}:R_0\leq|z|<1\}} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{Ap\varepsilon^2}}\, dA(z)\\ &= \int_{\{z\in\mathbb{D}:R_0\leq|z|<1\}} (1+|z|)^\alpha \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{Ap\varepsilon^2-\alpha}}\, dA(z),\end{aligned}$$

which is finite if $Ap\varepsilon^2 - \alpha < 1$. We have chosen $\varepsilon$ satisfying this condition, so (5.2) is true and, therefore, $S_\varphi(f) \in A^p_\alpha$. Then, we have finished the proof of (a).

In the proof of Theorem 2, we saw a particular case ($\alpha = 0$, $0 < s < 1$) of part (b), adapting a proof of [1]. Now we just notice that, taking any $\alpha > -1$ instead of $\alpha = 0$, this adaptation is in fact possible to prove (b).

About part (c), we see that $A^p_\alpha = \mathcal{D}^p_{p+\alpha}$, and using (1.2) we can compare this space with $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$, already studied, so we have that $\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1} \subset D^p_{p+\alpha}$. Now, if $S_\varphi(A^p_\alpha) \subset Q_s$ then $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}) \subset Q_s \subset \mathcal{B}$, and part (d) of Theorem 1 says that $\varphi$ is constant. □

## 6. Superposition between $Q_s$ and $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ spaces, $\alpha < p-2$

Next, let us consider the spaces $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ in the case $\alpha < p-2$. The functions in these spaces of Dirichlet type are bounded, that is:

$$\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset H^\infty, \qquad \text{if } \alpha > -1,\ p > \alpha + 2.$$

Our next result characterize the superposition operators between $Q_s$ spaces ($0 \leq s < \infty$) and $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ spaces ($\alpha > -1$, $p > \alpha + 2$).

**Theorem 6.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function, $0 \leq s < \infty$, $\alpha > -1$ and $p > \alpha + 2$. Then*

(a) *$S_\varphi(Q_s) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*

(b) *For $s \geq 1$, $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha) \subset Q_s$.*

(c) *For $0 \leq s < 1$ and $\frac{1+s}{2} > \frac{\alpha+1}{p}$, $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha) \subset Q_s$.*

(d) *For $0 \leq s < 1$ and $\frac{1+s}{2} \leq \frac{\alpha+1}{p}$, $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha) \subset Q_s$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*

Using that $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset H^\infty$, we see that part (a) is a consequence of the following result, proved in [24].

**Theorem F.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function. For $0 \leq s < \infty$, $S_\varphi(Q_s) \subset H^\infty$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*

For part (b), let $1 \leq s < \infty$, $\alpha > -1$ and $p > \alpha + 2$. If $f \in \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ then $f \in H^\infty$, and we deduce that, for any entire function $\varphi$, we have

$$S_\varphi(f) = \varphi \circ f \in H^\infty \subset BMOA = Q_1 \subset Q_s.$$

We prove parts (c) and (d) in two steps, given in the following results:

**Proposition 2.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function, $0 \leq s < 1$, $\alpha > -1$ and $p > \alpha + 2$.*

(i) *If $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset Q_s$, then $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha) \subset Q_s$.*

(ii) *If $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \not\subset Q_s$, then $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha) \subset Q_s$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*

**Proposition 3.** *If $0 \leq s < 1$, $\alpha > -1$ and $p > \alpha + 2$, then*

$$\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset Q_s \iff \tfrac{1+s}{2} > \tfrac{\alpha+1}{p}.$$

## 7. Proofs of Proposition 2 and Proposition 3

In order to prove Proposition 2, let $\varphi$ be an entire function, $0 \leq s < 1$, $\alpha > -1$ and $p > \alpha + 2$. Suppose that $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset Q_s$. If $f \in \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ then $f \in H^\infty$ and, consequently, $\varphi' \circ f$ is bounded on the disc, that is, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi'(f(z))| \leq c, \qquad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha |(\varphi \circ f)'(z)|^p dA(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha |\varphi'(f(z))|^p |f'(z)|^p dA(z) \\ &\leq c^p \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha |f'(z)|^p dA(z) < \infty,\end{aligned}$$

that is, $S_\varphi f = \varphi \circ f \in \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$. Then $S_\varphi f \in Q_s$ and (i) is proved.

For the second part, let $0 \leq s < 1$, $\alpha > -1$ and $p > \alpha + 2$, such that $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \not\subset Q_s$, and suppose that $\varphi$ is an entire function satisfying $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha) \subset Q_s$. Let us assume that $\varphi$ is not constant to get a contradiction.

We have that $\varphi' \not\equiv 0$, so there exist $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $R, A > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi'(z)| \geq A, \qquad \text{if } |z - z_0| \leq R.$$

Take a function $f \in \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ such that $f \notin Q_s$. Then $f \in H^\infty$ and we can take $M > 0$ such that $|f(z)| \leq M$, for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Consider the function $h$ defined by

$$h(z) = z_0 + \frac{R}{M} f(z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then $h \in \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \setminus Q_s$ and $|h(z) - z_0| \leq R$, for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, so we have that

$$|\varphi'(h(z))| \geq A, \qquad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Using the assumption $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha) \subset Q_s$, we deduce that $S_\varphi(h) = \varphi \circ h \in Q_s$, that is,

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(\varphi \circ h)'(z)|^2 g(z,a)^s \, dA(z) < \infty.$$

Now, for all $a \in \mathbb{D}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |(\varphi \circ h)'(z)|^2 g(z,a)^s \, dA(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |h'(z)|^2 |\varphi'(h(z))|^2 g(z,a)^s \, dA(z) \\ &\geq A^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |h'(z)|^2 g(z,a)^s \, dA(z).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |h'(z)|^2 g(z,a)^s \, dA(z) < \infty,$$

which means that $h \in Q_s$, so we get a contradiction and finish the proof of Proposition 2.

For the proof of Proposition 3, let $0 \leq s < 1$, $\alpha > -1$ and $p > \alpha + 2$. We shall consider different cases.

**Case 1.** First, suppose $\frac{1+s}{2} = \frac{\alpha+1}{p}$. Let us observe that

$$\frac{\alpha+1}{p} = \frac{1+s}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \alpha + 1 \geq \frac{p}{2} > \frac{\alpha+2}{2}.$$

It follows that $\alpha > 0$ and, therefore, $p > 2$.

We are going to prove that $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \not\subset Q_s$ using a function given by a lacunary series, as we did in the proof of Proposition 1. Let us take the function

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}\, 2^{Ak}}\, z^{2^k}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{D}, \qquad \text{where } A = \frac{1-s}{2}.$$

We see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}\, 2^{Ak}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{Ak}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{-A}\right)^k < \infty.$$

Then $f$ is an analytic function in the disc given by a power series with Hadamard gaps $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{n_k}$. Using the characterization of the lacunary series in $A^p_\alpha$ spaces, given in Proposition 2.1 of [12], we easily deduce that

$$f \in \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \iff \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|^p}{n_k^{\alpha+1-p}} < \infty. \tag{7.1}$$

Now we see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|^p}{n_k^{\alpha+1-p}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{p}{2}}\ 2^{Akp}\ 2^{k(\alpha+1-p)}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{p}{2}}\, 2^{k(Ap+\alpha+1-p)}}.$$

Here, we have

$$Ap + \alpha + 1 - p = \left(A + \frac{\alpha+1}{p} - 1\right) p = \left(\frac{1-s}{2} + \frac{1+s}{2} - 1\right) p = 0.$$

Then, having in mind that $p > 2$, we see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|^p}{n_k^{\alpha+1-p}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{p}{2}}} < \infty,$$

and it follows that $f \in \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$. On the other hand, if $0 < s < 1$, using (4.3) we have that

$$f \in Q_s \iff \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(1-s)} |a_k|^2 < \infty.$$

Let us notice that for the function $f$ defined here, this equivalence is also true if $s = 0$. Indeed, using (7.1) for $\mathcal{D}^2_0 = \mathcal{D} = Q_0$, we have that

$$f \in Q_0 \iff \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k |a_k|^2 < \infty \iff \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^k |a_k|^2 < \infty.$$

We see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(1-s)} |a_k|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(1-s)} \frac{1}{k\, 2^{2Ak}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} = \infty,$$

which implies that $f \notin Q_s$. In this way we have proved that $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \not\subset Q_s$.

**Case 2.** Now, suppose $\frac{1+s}{2} < \frac{\alpha+1}{p}$. We can reduce to the previous case by taking

$$s_0 = \frac{2(\alpha+1)}{p} - 1.$$

Indeed, we have that $\frac{1+s_0}{2} = \frac{\alpha+1}{p}$, and

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1+s}{2} < \frac{\alpha+1}{p} < \frac{\alpha+2}{p} < 1, \qquad 1 < \frac{2(\alpha+1)}{p} < 2,$$

that is, $0 < s_0 < 1$. Using case 1, we deduce that $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \not\subset Q_{s_0}$. Now, observe that

$$\frac{1+s}{2} < \frac{\alpha+1}{p} = \frac{1+s_0}{2},$$

so we have $s < s_0$ and $Q_s \subset Q_{s_0}$. It follows that $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \not\subset Q_s$.

**Case 3.** Finally, let assume that $\frac{1+s}{2} > \frac{\alpha+1}{p}$. We observe that

$$p > \alpha+2 \iff p-1 > \alpha+1 \iff 1-\frac{1}{p} > \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \iff \frac{1}{p} < 1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p},$$
$$\frac{1+s}{2} > \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \iff 1-\frac{1+s}{2} < 1-\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \iff \frac{1-s}{2} < 1-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}.$$

Then we can take a positive number $q$ satisfying

$$\max\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1-s}{2}\right) < \frac{1}{q} < 1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}. \tag{7.2}$$

Next, we apply Theorem 1.3 of [12], which assures (in the particular case $t = 0$) the following:

**Theorem G.** *If* $-1 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$ *and* $0 < p, q < \infty$, *then* $A^p_\alpha \subset A^q_\beta$

(a) *if* $p \leq q$ *and* $\frac{2+\beta}{q} \geq \frac{2+\alpha}{p}$,

*or*

(b) *if* $p > q$ *and* $\frac{1+\beta}{q} > \frac{1+\alpha}{p}$.

Take $\beta = q - 2$. Using (7.2) we have

$$\frac{1}{q} < 1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p} < 1,$$

which implies that $q > 1$ and $\beta > -1$. It also follow from (7.2) that $p > q$ and

$$\frac{1+\beta}{q} = \frac{q-1}{q} = 1 - \frac{1}{q} > \frac{\alpha+1}{p}.$$

Applying part (b) of Theorem G, we deduce that $A^p_\alpha \subset A^q_\beta$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset D^q_\beta = D^q_{q-2}\,.$$

Now, observe that $D^q_{q-2}$ is the Besov space $B^q$, as $q > 1$. Then we have that $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset B^q$. Finally, we use the inequality $\frac{1-s}{2} < \frac{1}{q}$ given in (7.2) to prove that $B^q \subset Q_s$. Indeed, we can use Proposition 1 if $s > 0$, and in the case $s = 0$ we have that $q < 2$, which implies that $B^q \subset B^2 = \mathcal{D} = Q_s$. Then we have the inclusion $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset Q_s$ and the proof of Proposition 3 is complete.

## 8. Superposition between $Q_s$ and $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ spaces, $p-2<\alpha<p-1$

The remaining cases for $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ spaces correspond to the index $\alpha$ between $p-2$ and $p-1$. For superposition operators from $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ to $Q_s$ we have the following.

**Theorem 7.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function, $0\leq s<\infty$, $p>0$ and $\alpha>-1$, such that $p-2<\alpha<p-1$. Then, $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha)\subset Q_s$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*

*Proof.* First, observe that it is enough to prove this result for $s>1$, that is, for the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$, because $Q_s\subset\mathcal{B}$. In this case, the proof can be done following part (d) of Theorem 1, using the function given by

$$f(z)=\frac{1}{(1-z)^\beta},\qquad z\in\mathbb{D},$$

where $\beta$ can be chosen satisfing the inequality $0<\beta<\frac{2+\alpha}{p}-1$ (observe that $\frac{2+\alpha}{p}-1>0$). □

In the other way, from $Q_s$ to $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ spaces, we have characterize superposition operators for $s=1$ (the space $BMOA$), $s>1$ (the Bloch space) and $s=0$ (the Dirichet space). Now, for $0<s<1$ we have solved the problem if $p<2$. In the case $p\geq 2$ we have some open cases.

For the space $BMOA$, the corresponding result is included in the following theorem, proved using lacunary series.

**Theorem 8.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function, $0\leq s\leq 1$, $p>0$ and $\alpha>-1$, such that $p-2<\alpha<p-1$. If one of the following conditions*

(a) $\alpha<\frac{p(s+1)}{2}-1$,

(b) $p<2$ *and* $\alpha=\frac{p(s+1)}{2}-1$,

*is satisfied, then $S_\varphi(Q_s)\subset\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*

Observe that, in the case $s=1$, the condition (a) is satisfied ($\alpha<p-1$). Then we have that $S_\varphi(BMOA)\subset\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant. We also note that the equality in (b) implies that $s<1$.

*Proof.* Let us define the function

$$f(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k^A\,2^{\frac{k(1-s)}{2}}}\,z^{2^k},\qquad z\in\mathbb{D},$$

where $A=2$ if (a) holds, or $A=\frac{1}{p}$ if (b) is true. Then $f$ is given by a lacunary series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_kz^{n_k}$, and we see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|a_kz^{n_k}|\leq\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|a_k|=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k^A\,2^{\frac{k(1-s)}{2}}},$$

for all $z\in\mathbb{D}$. Now, if (a) holds, we see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k^A\,2^{\frac{k(1-s)}{2}}}\leq\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k^A}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k^2}<\infty,$$

and if we have (b), then $s<1$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k^A\,2^{\frac{k(1-s)}{2}}}\leq\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^{\frac{k(1-s)}{2}}}<\infty.$$

Then $f$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$, and we have that $f\in H^\infty$.

Let us prove that $f \in Q_s$ and $f \notin \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$. If $s = 1$, as $f \in H^\infty$ and $H^\infty \subset BMOA = Q_1$, we have that $f \in Q_1$. If $0 \le s < 1$, we can apply (4.3). Using that $2A > 1$, in both (a) and (b), we see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(1-s)} \sum_{j:\, n_j \in I_k} |a_j|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(1-s)} |a_k|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(1-s)} \frac{1}{k^{2A}\, 2^{k(1-s)}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2A}} < \infty.$$

Then we have that $f \in Q_s$. Now we see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|^p}{n_k^{\alpha+1-p}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{Ap}\, 2^{\frac{k(1-s)p}{2}} (2^k)^{\alpha+1-p}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(2^{\frac{p(s+1)}{2}-1-\alpha}\right)^k}{k^{Ap}}.$$

If (a) is true, then $2^{\frac{p(s+1)}{2}-1-\alpha} > 1$ and this sum is infinity. If (b) is true, then the sum is $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{Ap}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} = \infty$. Then, using (7.1), we have that $f \notin \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$.

Now, if we assume that $\varphi$ is not constant, we can follow the proof of part (ii) of Proposition 2, interchanging $Q_s$ and $D^p_\alpha$, and get a contradiction. □

For the Bloch and Dirichlet spaces we have the following.

**Theorem 9.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function, $p > 0$ and $\alpha > -1$, such that $p - 2 < \alpha < p - 1$. Then*

(a) *$S_\varphi(\mathcal{B}) \subset D^p_\alpha$ if and only if $\varphi$ is constant.*
(b) *If $p \le 2$ and $\alpha > \frac{p}{2} - 1$, then $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ if and only if $\varphi$ is of order less than 2, or of order 2 and finite type.*
(c) *If $p > 2$, then $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ if and only if $\varphi$ is of order less than 2, or of order 2 and finite type.*

Let us remark that if $p = 2$ then $\alpha > p - 2 = 0 = \frac{p}{2} - 1$. Then with this result and Theorem 8 in the case $s = 0$ we have all the cases for the Dirichlet space.

*Proof.* Part (a) follows trivially from Theorem 1 (b), as $\alpha < p - 1$ implies that $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$. Now, Theorem 24 of [11] for $p = 2$ gives (b).

Next we prove (c). If $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ then, using again that $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$, we have that $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$, and, by part (a) of Theorem 3, we see that $\varphi$ is of order less than 2, or of order 2 and finite type. In the other way, let us assume that $\varphi$ is of this order and type. Observe that

$$p - 2 < \alpha \iff p < 2 + \alpha \iff 1 < \frac{2+\alpha}{p} \iff \frac{1}{2} < \frac{2+\alpha}{p} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let us take a positive number $q$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{q} < \frac{2+\alpha}{p} - \frac{1}{2},$$

so that

$$1 < q\left(\frac{2+\alpha}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right), \qquad \frac{q}{2} - 1 < q\,\frac{2+\alpha}{p} - 2.$$

Let $\beta = q\,\frac{2+\alpha}{p} - 2$. Then

$$\frac{2+\beta}{q} = \frac{2+\alpha}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta > \frac{q}{2} - 1 > -1.$$

Now, as $p > 2$ and $q < 2$, we also have that $q < p$. In these conditions we can apply two results. We use again Theorem 24 of [11] for $p = 2$, to see that $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D}^q_\beta$, and we can

apply part (a) of Theorem G to deduce that $A^q_\beta \subset A^p_\alpha$, which implies that $\mathcal{D}^q_\beta \subset \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$. Then we have that $S_\varphi(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ and the proof is finished. □

For the spaces $Q_s$, $0 < s < 1$, we have already solved some cases of our problem in Theorem 8. Now we can see that the proof of Theorem 2 (a) for the case $p < 2$ can also be done for $\alpha \in (p-2, p-1)$ instead of $\alpha = p-1$, if the additional condition $\alpha > p(s+1)/2 - 1$ is required. Then we have the following.

**Theorem 10.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function, $0 < s < 1$, $0 < p < 2$ and $\alpha > -1$, such that $p - 2 < \alpha < p - 1$ and $\alpha > \frac{p(s+1)}{2} - 1$. Then $S_\varphi(Q_s) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ if and only if $\varphi$ is of order less than one, or of order one and type zero.*

This result, together with Theorem 8, give the answer to our problem for $p < 2$. Now we turn our attention to the case $p \geq 2$.

Theorem 8 proves that $S_\varphi(Q_s) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ only for constant functions $\varphi$ if $\alpha < p(s+1)/2 - 1$. Our next result solves the problem if $\alpha > p-2+s$. Let us notice that $p(s+1)/2-1 \leq p-2+s$, then we have the remaining cases

$$\frac{p(s+1)}{2} - 1 \leq \alpha \leq p - 2 + s.$$

In particular, if $p = 2$, we have that $p(s+1)/2 - 1 = s = p - 2 + s$, and the only remaining case is $\alpha = s$. The mentioned result is the following.

**Theorem 11.** *Let $\varphi$ be an entire function, $0 < s < 1$, $p \geq 2$ and $\alpha > -1$, such that $p - 2 + s < \alpha < p - 1$. Then $S_\varphi(Q_s) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$ if and only if $\varphi$ is of order less than one, or of order one and type zero.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $S_\varphi(Q_s) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$. Using that $\alpha < p - 1$ we have that $\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$. Then $S_\varphi(Q_s) \subset \mathcal{D}^p_{p-1}$ and we can apply (a) of Theorem 2.

Now, let us assume that $\varphi$ is of order less than one, or of order one and type zero. We take $f \in Q_s$ and we have to prove that $S_\varphi(f) \in \mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$.

As $f \in Q_s \subset \mathcal{B}$, there exists a positive constant $M$ such that

$$|f(z)| \leq M \left( \log \frac{1}{1-|z|} + 1 \right), \qquad |f'(z)| \leq \frac{M}{1-|z|^2}, \qquad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{8.1}$$

Let $\varepsilon$ be the positive number

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\alpha - s - p + 2}{Mp}.$$

We know that $\varphi'$ is also of order less than one, or of order one and type zero, then there exists $A > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi'(z)| \leq A\, e^{\varepsilon |z|}, \qquad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

So, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ we have that

$$|\varphi'(f(z))| \leq A\, e^{\varepsilon |f(z)|} \leq A\, e^{\varepsilon M \left( \log \frac{1}{1-|z|} + 1 \right)} = A\, e^{\varepsilon M} \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{\varepsilon M}}.$$

Using this inequality and the second one of (8.1), we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^\alpha\big|\big(S_\varphi(f)\big)'(z)\big|^p\,dA(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^\alpha|f'(z)|^p|\varphi'(f(z))|^p\,dA(z)$$

$$\leq A^p e^{\varepsilon Mp}\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^\alpha|f'(z)|^p\frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{\varepsilon Mp}}\,dA(z)$$

$$=A^p e^{\varepsilon Mp}\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^s|f'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha-s}|f'(z)|^{p-2}\frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{\varepsilon Mp}}\,dA(z)$$

$$\leq A^p e^{\varepsilon Mp}\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^s|f'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha-s}\left(\frac{M}{1-|z|^2}\right)^{p-2}\frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{\varepsilon Mp}}\,dA(z)$$

$$\leq A^p e^{\varepsilon Mp}M^{p-2}c_{p,\alpha,s}\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)^s|f'(z)|^2(1-|z|)^{\alpha-s-\varepsilon Mp-p+2}\,dA(z),$$

where $c_{p,\alpha,s}$ is a positive constant which only depends on $p$, $\alpha$ and $s$. By our choice of $\varepsilon$, we have that the exponent of $(1-|z|)$ in the last expression is zero, so the integral becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)^s|f'(z)|^2\,dA(z),$$

which is finite because $f\in Q_s$ (see (3.2)). Then we have proved that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^\alpha\big|\big(S_\varphi(f)\big)'(z)\big|^p\,dA(z)<\infty,$$

that is, $S_\varphi(f)\in\mathcal{D}^p_\alpha$. This completes the proof. □

## References


[1] V. Alvarez, M.A. Márquez and D. Vukotić, *Superposition operators between the Bloch space and Bergman spaces*, Ark. Mat. **42** (2004), 205–216.

[2] J. M. Anderson, J. Clunie and Ch. Pommerenke, *On Bloch functions and normal functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **270** (1974), 12–37.

[3] R. Aulaskari, D. Girela and H. Wulan, *Taylor coefficients and mean growth of the derivative of $Q^p$ functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **258** (2001), no. 2, 415-428.

[4] R. Aulaskari and P. Lappan, *Criteria for an analytic function to be Bloch and a harmonic or meromorphic function to be normal*, Complex Analysis and its Applications (Harlow), Pitman Research Notes in Math, vol. 305, Longman Scientific and Technical, 1994, 136–146.

[5] R. Aulaskari, D.A. Stegenga, and J. Xiao, *Some subclasses of $BMOA$ and their characterizations in terms of Carleson measures*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **26** (1996), 485–506.

[6] R. Aulaskari, J. Xiao and R. Zhao, *On subspaces and subsets of $BMOA$ and $UBC$*, Analysis **15** (1995), 101–121.

[7] A. Baernstein, *Analytic functions of Bounded Mean Oscillation*. In: Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis. Editors: D. A. Brannan and J. G. Clunie. Academic Press, London, New York (1980), 3–36.

[8] A. Baernstein, D. Girela and J. A. Peláez, *Univalent functions, Hardy spaces and spaces of Dirichlet type*, Illinois J. Math. **48** (2004), no. 3, 837–859.

[9] R.P. Boas, Jr., *Entire functions*, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1954.

[10] J. Bonet and D. Vukotić, *Superposition operators between weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions of controlled growth*, Monatsh. Math. **170** (2013), no. 3–4, 311–323.

[11] S.M. Buckley, J.L. Fernández, and D. Vukotić, *Superposition operators on Dirichlet type spaces*. In: Papers on Analysis: a volume dedicated to Olli Martio on the occasion of his 60th Birthday, 41–61. Rep. Univ. Jyväskylä Dep. Math. Stat. **83**, Univ. Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä 2001.

[12] S.M. Buckley, P. Koskela and D. Vukotić, *Fractional integration, differentiation, and weighted Bergman spaces*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **126** (1999), 369–385.

[13] S.M. Buckley and D. Vukotić, *Univalent Interpolation in Besov Spaces and Superposition into Bergman Spaces*, Potential Anal. **29** (2008), no. 1, 1–16.

[14] G.A. Cámera, *Nonlinear superposition on spaces of analytic functions*. In: Harmonic analysis and operator theory (Caracas, 1994), 103–116. Contemp. Math. **189**, Amer. Math. Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1995.
[15] J.J. Donaire, D. Girela, and D. Vukotić, *On univalent functions in some Möbius invariant spaces*, J. reine angew. Math. **553** (2002), 43–72.
[16] P. L. Duren, *Theory of $H^p$ spaces*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1970. Reprint: Dover, Mineola-New York, 2000.
[17] P. L. Duren and A. P. Schuster, *Bergman Spaces*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 100, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2004.
[18] K. M. Dyakonov and D. Girela, *On $Q_p$ spaces and pseudoanalytic extension*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **25** (2000), no. 2, 477-486.
[19] M. Essén and J. Xiao, *Some results on $Q_p$ spaces,* $0 < p < 1$, J. Reine Angew. Math. **485** (1997), 173195.
[20] T. M. Flett, *The Dual of an Inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and Some Related Inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **38** (1972), 746–765.
[21] P. Galanopoulos, D. Girela, J. A. Peláez and A. Siskakis, *Generalized Hilbert operators*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **39** (2014), no. 1, 231–258.
[22] D. Girela, *Growth of the Derivative of Bounded Analytic Functions*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **20** (1992), no. 1–4, 221–227.
[23] D. Girela, *Analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation*. In: Complex Function Spaces, Mekrijärvi 1999. Editor: R. Aulaskari. Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser. 4, Univ. Joensuu, Joensuu (2001), 61–170.
[24] D. Girela and M.A. Márquez, *Superposition operators between $Q_p$ spaces and Hardy spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **364** (2010), no. 2, 463–472.
[25] D. Girela, M. Nowak and P. Waniurski, *On the zeros of Bloch functions*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **129** (2000), no. 1, 117-128.
[26] D. Girela and J. A. Peláez, *Carleson Measures for Spaces of Dirichlet Type*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory **55** (2006), no. 3, 415–427.
[27] D. Girela and J. A. Peláez, *Growth properties and sequences of zeros of analytic functions in spaces of Dirichlet type*, J. Australian Math. Soc. **80** (2006), 397–418.
[28] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman Spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **199**, Springer, New York, Berlin, etc. 2000.
[29] J. E. Littlewood and R. E. A. C. Paley, *Theorems on Fourier series and power series (II)*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **42** (1936), 52–89.
[30] A. Nicolau and J. Xiao, *Bounded functions in Mbius invariant Dirichlet spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **150** (1997), no. 2, 383425.
[31] M. Nowak, *On zeros of normal functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **27** (2002), no. 2, 381–390.
[32] J. Pau and J. A. Peláez, *Multipliers of Möbius invariant $Q_s$ spaces*, Math. Z. **261** (2009), no. 3, 545-555.
[33] J. A. Peláez, F. Pérez-González and J. Rättyä, *Operator theoretic differences between Hardy and Dirichlet-type spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **418** (2014), no. 1, 387–402.
[34] S. A. Vinogradov, *Multiplication and division in the space of analytic functions with area integrable derivative, and in some related spaces* (in russian), Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) **222** (1995), Issled. po Linein. Oper. i Teor. Funktsii **23**, 45–77, 308; translation in J. Math. Sci. (New York) **87**, no. 5 (1997), 3806–3827.
[35] Z. Wu, *Carleson Measures and Multipliers for Dirichlet Spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **169** (1999), 148–163.
[36] J. Xiao, *The $Q^p$ corona theorem*, Pacific J. Math. **194** (2000), no. 2, 491509.
[37] J. Xiao, *Holomorphic Q classes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1767**, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
[38] J. Xiao, *Geometric Q functions*, Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag, 2006.
[39] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces, Second Edition*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 138, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2007.

Department of Mathematics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece
*E-mail address*: petrosgala@math.auth.gr

Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Málaga, Campus de Teatinos, 29071 Málaga, Spain
*E-mail address*: girela@uma.es

Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Málaga, Campus de Teatinos, 29071 Málaga, Spain
*E-mail address*: auxim@uma.es